

11 March 69

Harold:

Here is another note regarding the possibility that LHO was using codes. Again, I advise you that I have no idea what meaning there may be to the following. This may be irrelevant, but I thought I should call it to your attention anyway.

In connection with my note on the numbers 19106 and 110669, I said that both numbers used only the four digits, 1,6,9, and 0. I thought that that information might bear at least on the probability that the correspondence between the numbers is not accidental.

Now look at the numbers that were in LHO's possession when he was arrested (you sent me these a month or so ago):

AL 2-4611	(1,2,4,6)
CO 7-3110	(1,3,7,0)
OR 9-9450	(4,5,9,0)
RI 8-9711	(1,7,8,9)

Each of those numbers respectively uses only four digits. I consider that a remarkable occurrence, and I believe that the probability of this happening by chance is very, very low.

I wrote this soon after noting the correspondence, and have not analysed further, nor looked at other sets of numbers.

I checked with someone who knows a little about codes and thinks there may be something behind 19106 and 110669. You can't go far with just two numbers, however; it does not give you enough data on which to search for a system.

I'll check further with someone who knows more. I wanted you to have this undigested material in case you should speak with someone about it before I have a chance to write again.

Still,

*Dick*

Bernabei